

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,366	04/24/2001	Deborah A. Louis Walface	SPCI115495	6571
26389	7590 02/24/2006	EXAMINER		
CHRISTEN 1420 FIFTH	ISEN, O'CONNOR, JO	NAWAZ, ASAD M		
SUITE 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE,	WA 98101-2347	2155		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/842,3	66	LOUIS WALLACE	LOUIS WALLACE ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Asad M.		2155				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TO R 1.136(a). In no even. In. Beriod will apply and witatute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNICA vent, however, may a rep vill expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 November 2	2005.					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance excep	for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 33-42</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 33-42</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	· .						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	rrection is requi	red if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. N	ote the attached (	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docum	ents have been re	eceived in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).					
* 8	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the cert	ified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date	0.450			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) .	6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/842,366 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination received on 11/28/05. Claims 1, 19, 27, and 38 were amended. Claim 32 was previously canceled. No other claims were added or canceled. Accordingly, claims 1-31 and 33-42 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, claim 1 recites that the database may be used to dynamically generate a website and that the website may be provided by the web server module.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-9, 12-15, 17-21, 24-31, and 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papadopoulos et al (SUPN: 6,282,454) further in view of Jammes et al (USPN: 6,484,149).

As to claim 1, Papadopoulos teaches a system for providing information regarding the operation of a control system comprising a web server module (30, Fig 2) associated with said control system (32, Fig 2, programmable logic controller is a control system) said web server module having a memory operative to store a non-markup language web site database that may be used to dynamically generate a website, wherein the web site may be provided by the web server module to provide information regarding the operation of the control system (col 4, lines 36-65) and a computer operative to receive non-markup language configuration data defining said website to store said configuration data as said non-markup language we site database, and to transmit said non-markup language web site database to said web server module (col 3, lines 48-60, col 4, lines 1-35).

However, Papadopoulos does not explicitly indicate that the data defines attributes of said web site. Jammes et al teaches a system and method for viewing production information and generating web pages in which a web server opens a template file related to the requested web page, creates hyperlinks and other information content by executing database references embedded within the template file to generate a markup language page (col 3, lines 1-44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Jammes into those of Papadopoulos to make

the system more efficient and customizable. Jammes disclosure would allow one to create and update the data records of an information database in response to user manipulation of the GUI (col 4, lines 12-18)

As to claims 2 and 3, Papadopoulos teaches the system wherein said wbe server module is operative to receive a request for a web page of said web site and to dynamically generate a markup language web page from said web site database in response to said request and transmit the translated data to the client (col 4, lines 1-5).

As to claim 4, Papadopoulos teaches the web site database further comprising a security profile map defining security level and privilege information for one or more servers, and wherein said web server module is further operative to identify a user associated with said request and to determine if said user is authorized to receive said web page based upon an entry in said security profile map associated with said user (col 4, lines 11-21)

As per claim 5, Papadopoulos teaches Web site database further comprises data defining a Web page comprising a table for reading or writing the contents of a memory register contained within said control system (Col. 5, L20-29., web site contains tables for reading/writing data retrieved from control system).

As per claim 6, Papadopoulos teaches the system of claim 2, wherein said Web site database further comprises data defining a Web page comprising a non-text rendering of read or write data corresponding to contents of a contained within said control system (Col 6, Lines 5-10, Lines 17-26)

Page 5

As per claims 7-8, Papadopoulos teaches said request comprises a request for said Web page comprising a table and non-text rendering, and wherein said Web server module is operative to identify said memory register, to determine the contents of said memory register, and to create said Web page comprising a table containing said contents of said memory register (Col. 8, Lines 40- 44., upon receiving a request from a client, web server retrieves PLC data from control system to store in its table and dynamically create a web page to send to the client device).

As per claim 9 Papadopoulos teaches said Web server module is electrically connected to said control system controller through a backplane interface (col. 4, lines 21-24).

As per claim 12, Papadopoulos teaches said request comprises a hyper-text transport protocol request and wherein said request is received from a Web browser executing on said remote computer (Col. 4, Lines 1-5).

As per claims 13-14, Papadopoulos teaches said dynamically generated markup Language Web page comprises a Web page identifying an alarm generated by said Web server module through the monitoring of data for said control system (Col. 10, Lines 1-7., client user can view the status event (e.g., alarm) of the control system via its browser software through the web site).

As per claim 15, Papadopoulos teaches said Web server module further comprises an Ethernet interface for receiving said non-markup language (e.g., PLC data) Web site database and said requests and wherein said dynamically generated markup language Web page may comprise a Web page providing information regarding

the status of said Ethernet interface (Col. 4, Lines 55-58,' web server uses Ethernet interface for communications).

As per claim 17, Papadopoulos teaches said dynamically generated markup language Web page comprises a Web page providing system administrator or specific user-allowed access that allows active browser session modification of said security profile privileges (Col. 4, Lines 1 1-21., user can update security parameters of the system as desired).

As per claim 18 Papadopoulos teaches said Web server module is further operative to receive a plurality of said requests and wherein said dynamically generated markup language Web page may comprise a Web page identifying a like plurality of users connected to said Web server module and associated with said plurality of requests (Col. 3, Line 66 - col. 4, line 5., web site processes plurality of requests from plurality of users as required).

Claims 19-15, 17-21, 24-42 contain similar limitations as the above mentioned claims and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 10, 11, 16, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papadopoulos and Jammes in view of Sharood et al, 6,453,687 (hereafter Sharood).

As per claims 10, 11, 16, 22 and 23, neither Papadopoulos nor Jammes show the Web server module being electrically connected to said control system controller through a serial or network interface.

In an analogous art to the claimed invention, Sharood shows a module that is electrically connected to a control system controller through a serial or network interface (F2, E204 & E206, C5, L21-28). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of invention to modify and/or combine the teachings of Papadopoulos and Sharood by allowing a various communication channels (e.g., serial or network ports) to connect to the web server module to enhance the compatibility interfaces of the web server module with various devices.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M. Nawaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMN

SALEH NAJJAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER